

US High Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor

Deployment Challenges and Strategies

Farshid Shahrokhi HTR2014 Conference, Weihai, China





Introduction

- NGNP Industry Alliance
- End User Industries
- Reactor Design
- Normal Operating Parameters
- Roadmap to Commercialization
 - Market Rationalization
 - Vision
 - Challenges
 - Strategies
- Conclusions



NGNP Industry Alliance, LLC (www.ngnpalliance.org)

Formed in response to USA Energy Policy Act of 2005







End-User Industries North America, Hawaii, KSA, Japan and Korea

Industries

- Oil Shale
- Oil Sands
- Coal -to-Liquids
- Hydrogen Production
- 560 °C Steam Cogeneration
- Ammonia Based Products
- Seawater Desalination
- Electricity Production



- Oil Sands Recovery Operations in Alberta Canada
 - 18 GWt ~30 modules
- Power Generation
 - 110 GWt

~180 modules

Other Electricity Markets

- Hawaii, KSA, Japan, and Korea
 - 80 GWt

~130 modules



Reactor Design AREVA High Temperature Reactor Steam Cycle-HTGR

HTGR technology is fairly well established

- Helium-cooled
- Graphite-moderated
- Coated particle fuel
- Modular design and construction
- HTGR can meet many needs
 - High efficiency electricity for smaller markets
 - High temperature process steam
 - Cogeneration of process heat and electricity
- HTGR has inherent safety characteristics that allow "close-in" siting with energy users

p.5





Nominal Operating Parameters

Fuel type	TRISO particle						
Cara geometry	102 column annular						
Core geometry	10 block high						
Reactor power	625 MWt						
Reactor outlet temperature	750°C						
Reactor inlet temperature	325°C						
Primary coolant pressure	6 MPa						
Vessel Material	SA 508/533						
Number of loops	2						
Steam generator power	315 MWt (each)						
Main circulator power	4 MWe (each)						
Main steam temperature	566°C						
Main steam pressure	16.7 MPa						

All rights are reserved, see liability notice.

Roadmap to Commercialization



Time & cost are the main barrier to commercialization Initial non-commercial support is essential for success



Roadmap to Commercialization Market Rationalization

- HTGRs are designed for markets that:
 - Rely on premium fossil fuels,
 - Have limited water supplies, or
 - Need to reduce carbon footprint
- Addressing the overarching energy policy goals of:
 - Feedstock security
 - Economic growth
 - Water conservation
 - Reduction of carbon footprint

- 60% of global energy needs can be served by HTGRs producing competitively priced electricity and process heat
- Integrated with carbon conversion technologies, HTGRs can provide an economic approach to production of synthetic transportation fuel and chemical feed stocks with minimal carbon foot print

HTGRs provide the option to use the only "game-changing" technology on the horizon



HTR2014-11309 US HTGR Deployment Challenges and Strategies Dr. Farshid Shahrokhi

Roadmap to Commercialization Development and Deployment Plan

Overlapping Steps to Commercialization

Technology Development

- Fuel and Graphite for SC-HTGRs
- More Advanced design require additional technology development, i.e. IHX

Design Development

 A systematic approach to design (CD, PD, FD)

Regulatory and Licensing Issues

 Work with regulators - NRC, ASN and CNSC

Supply Chain and Infrastructure

- Component design and manufacturing
- FOAK Plant Construction and Demonstration
 - Site preparation, environmental permits, construction and operation
- Build-out Deployment to NOAK Pricing and Schedule
 - Order book build up



Roadmap to Commercialization Challenges (1 of 2)

Technical Issues

- TRISO Particle fuel characterization and qualification
- Nuclear grade graphite characterization
- Codes and methods development
- Thermal effects tests
- Key components design
- Regulatory Requirements (country dependent)
 - In the U.S.A. NPP licensing rules are LWR specific
 - Modular HTGRs are designed for safety but with a different method of achieving the superior safety where regulators are unaccustomed
 - Early interaction with regulator is underway in the U.S.A.
 - To date no "show stoppers" have been identified
 - However, NRC has not carried these topics to become guidancetherefore timeframe to license is uncertain



Roadmap to Commercialization Challenges (2 of 2)

Financial Needs

- Sustained funding for development, licensing, and FOAK plant construction is the most challenging aspect of the advanced reactor commercialization
- Current costs, estimated at \$3.8B over sixteen years is a major hurdle to overcome
- Five categories of work and their funding estimates
 - Technology development R&D ~ \$300 M
 - Design development one time cost for three design phases CD, PD, FD ~ \$800M
 - Equipment and infrastructure costs ~ \$1.25B
 - Licensing costs ~\$200M
 - Construction and commissioning costs of the first module~\$1.2B



FOAK & Fleet Deployment Schedule 16 Years of Intensive Effort with US Licensing

Activity	15 16 17	18 19 20 2	1 22 23	24 25	26 27 2	28 29 3	0 31 3	2 33	34 35	36	37 38 39 4	0 41	42 43 44 4
Deployment Project & Fleet Deployment										_		-	
HTGR Development Venture										_		_	
Research & Development - Current										-		-	
Addiitonal Required R& D		1 1 1			_				_				
Licensing		1 1 1		_	_								
Pre-Application Review				_								-	
ESP Application Submittal & Review													
ESP issued		•								_			
COLA Prep, submittal & NRC Review			-										
COL Issued													
ITAACs Resolved													
Core Load Approved													
Resolve Operating Provisions													
HTGR Deployment Project													
First Module Deployment (625 MWt)			1 1 1										
Design													
Procurement													
Site Preparation													
Construction & Startup Testing													
First Module Operational					\bullet								
Initial Operating Period													
Second Module Deployment				0									
Third Module Deployment													
Fourth Module Deployment													
First Plant Fully Operational							•						
HTGR Fleet Deployment													
Second Plant Deployment													
Second Plant Fully Operational									•				
Third Plant Fully Operational													
Fourth Plant Fully Operational											•		
Fifth Plant Fully Operational											•		
Sixth Plant Fully Operational													
Seventh Plant Fully Operational													•
Eigth Plant Fully Operational													•
Ninth Plant Fully Operational													
Tenth Plant Fully Operational*													•
* Fleet Deployment continues at a rate of two plants a year				Perio	Period when Cumulative Net Income goes positive depending on Gov't cost share								
				Period when IRR is achieved depending on Gov't cost share. This range extends to 2055									

AREVA

Variable Rate of Fleet Deployment





HTR2014-11309 US HTGR Deployment Challenges and Strategies Dr. Farshid Shahrokhi

Roadmap to Commercialization Economic Model

Funding scenarios studied

- No government funding
- Government support of R&D only
- •50% government support of the project
- 80% government support of the project



Net Present Value vs. Time



- Variable fleet deployment rate
- Time to zero NPV is from 28 to 41 (years)
- Required IRR (10%) achieved at NPV=0
- # Plants 7 to 31
- The larger Gov't support the sooner NPV is positive



Cumulative Cash Flow vs Time



- Variable fleet deployment rate
- Time to positive CCF is from 23 to 26 (years)
- # Plants 3 to 5
- The larger Gov't support the sooner cash flow becomes positive

Funding Sources

Funding sources

Internal R&D funds

• Individual partner companies

Private investor funding and financing

• Positive returns IRR, IP ownership, positive cash flow expectation

Sovereign investments

• Social and economic benefits

Funding Approaches

- Initially non-commercial sources (government or philanthropic)
- Investor community (graded risk financing)
- Supplier companies
- International collaborations



Conclusions and Observations

Markets for HTGRs are fully developed and exist today

- These markets are solely dependent on fossil fuels, mainly natural gas and natural gas liquids
- Modular HTGRs are Gen-IV reactors with superior safety and security ideal for close-in siting and public safety
- Modular HTGRs unparalleled intrinsic and passive safety offer low investment risk for co-location with end-user community
- Modular HTGRs can serve electricity markets where SMRs are desired and are an advantage when water is restricted and close siting is desired.



Conclusions and Observations (cont'd.)

Development and deployment financial barriers

- Long development period (especially in the US)
- Large development cost (especially in the US)
- Uncertain market demand, i.e. order book
- No single or groups of suppliers can afford to bear the development costs
- Public and private partnerships are needed
 - Governments
 - Investment groups
 - Supplier groups
- Philanthropic and public financing is necessary during the initial years of development venture
- Historically civilian nuclear programs started with substantial public support – HTGRs are no exception





Acknowledgements

Economic analysis and modeling studies sponsored by US DOE and NGNP Industry Alliance





THANK YOU

QUESTION?

